	Case 2:08-cr-00082-RSL	Document 97	Filed 03/26/08	Page 1 of 3	
01					
02					
03					
04					
05					
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON				
07	AT SEATTLE				
08	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) CAS	SE NO. CR08-082	-RSL	
09	Plaintiff,	)			
10	v.	) ) ) DET	) ) ) DETENTION ORDER		
11	PAUL D. PETERSON,	) DE	ENTION ORDER	X	
12	Defendant.	)			
13	<i>)</i>				
14	Offense charged: Conspiracy to Distribute Cocaine and Crack Cocaine; Possession of Crack				
15	Cocaine with Intent to Distribute; Forfeiture Allegation				
16	Date of Detention Hearing: March 26, 2008				
17	The Court, having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), and				
18	based upon the factual findings and statement of reasons for detention hereafter set forth, finds				
19	that no condition or combination of conditions which defendant can meet will reasonably assure				
20	the appearance of defendant as required and the safety of other persons and the community.				
21	FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION				
22	1. Defendant has been charged with a drug offense the maximum penalty of which				
	DETENTION ORDER  15.13 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i) PAGE 1  Rev. 1/91				

03

05

06

08

09

11

10

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

is in excess of ten years. There is therefore a rebuttable presumption against defendant as to both dangerousness and flight risk, under 18 U.S.C. §3142(e).

- 2. The AUSA proffers information indicating that Defendant was arrested on October 12, 2007 on state court charges that are the same as those ultimately alleged in Count 11 of the Indictment in this case. However, after spending one night in jail, the AUSA alleges that defendant immediately returned to drug trafficking activity with another individual who is a codefendant in this case. A search of the residence to which defendant asks to be released yielded crack cocaine and drug packaging materials, as well as marijuana. The AUSA proffers that defendant engaged in counter surveillance activity during the course of the instant investigation.
- 3. The defendant has not been employed for the last 6 years. There is some discrepancy as to his current residence.
- Taken as a whole, the record does not effectively rebut the presumption that no 4. condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

## It is therefore ORDERED:

- (1) Defendant shall be detained pending trial and committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a correction facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;
- Defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with (2) counsel;
- (3) On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the

Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined shall deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding; and

(4) The clerk shall direct copies of this Order to counsel for the United States, to counsel for the defendant, to the United States Marshal, and to the United States Pretrial Services Officer.

DATED this 26th day of March, 2008.

Mary Alice Theiler

United States Magistrate Judge